# NAVIGATION

GO  Customize Threshold Requirements
GO  Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
GO  Customize New Project Rating Tool

# CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

### CoC Threshold Requirements  *(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)*

| | |
|---|---|
| X | Coordinated Entry Participation |
| X | Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation |
| X | Documented, secured minimum match |
| X | Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally |
| X | Project is financially feasible |
| X | Applicant is active CoC participant |
| X | Application is complete and data are consistent |
| X | Data quality at or above 90% |
| X | Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90% |
| X | Acceptable organizational audit/financial review |
| X | Documented organizational financial stability |

*(The first five requirements are recom...*
*either as Threshold Requirements or ...*

# CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

*Using the drop-down menu on the left customize rating factors for each project type or delete the type to view all factors at once.*

*Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.*

## Performance Measures

| | | Factor/Goal | | Max Point Value | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Length of Stay** | | | | | |
| | RRH - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | | | | |
| X | PSH - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | 15 | days | 20 | points |
| X | TH - On average, participants stay in project XX days | 180 | days | 20 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days | | days | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in | | days | 10 | points |
| **Exits to Permanent Housing** | | | | | |
| | RRH - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | | | | |
| X | PSH - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| X | TH - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing | 90 | % | 25 | points |
| **Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)** | | | | | |
| | RRH - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | | | | |
| X | PSH - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 15 | % | 15 | points |
| X | TH - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 15 | % | 15 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing | 15 | % | 15 | points |
| **New or Increased Income and Earned Income** | | | | | |
| | RRH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | | | | |
| X | PSH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| | RRH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | | | | |
| X | PSH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| | RRH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | | | | |
| X | PSH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers | 8 | % | 2.5 | points |
| | RRH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | | | | |
| X | PSH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | 10 | % | 2.5 | points |

## Serve High Need Populations   *(select from drop-down menu)*

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Project focuses on chronically homeless people | | | | |
| | RRH - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | | | | |
| X | PSH - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | 95 | % | 10 | points |

## Project Effectiveness

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | RRH - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 20 | points |
| X | PSH - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 20 | points |
| X | TH - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 20 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type | Yes | | 20 | points |
| X | RRH - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | PSH - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) | 95 | % | 10 | points |
| X | RRH - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | PSH - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | TH - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |
| X | TH+RRH - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes | | 10 | points |

## Other and Local Criteria   *(select from drop-down menu)*

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| X | CoC Monitoring Score | Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards | Yes | 10 | points |
| X | Cost per unit | | | 20 | points |
| X | PSH - Cost per unit | | | 20 | points |
| X | RRH - Cost per unit | | | 20 | points |
| X | PSH - Reliance on funding | How heavily does the project rely on CoC funding versus fund | | 20 | points |
| X | RRH - Reliance on funding | How heavily does the project rely on CoC funding versus fund | | 20 | points |
| X | PSH - Unsheltered | Minimum % of participants entering project from place not mea | | 10 | points |
| | RRH - Unsheltered | | | | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Total Maximum Score** | RRH projects: | 110 points |
| | PSH projects: | 200 points |
| | TH projects: | 150 points |
| | TH+RRH projects: | 150 points |

---

# CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

| **Experience** | **Factor/Goal** | **Max Point Value** |
|---|---|---|
| X  A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application. | | 15 points |
| X  B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. | | 10 points |
| X  C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. | | 5 points |

## Design of Housing & Supportive Services

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| X  A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. | | 15 points |
| X  B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. | | 5 points |

| X | C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. | | 5 | points |

## Timeliness

| X | A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant.  Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. | | 10 | points |

## Financial

| X | A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. | | 5 | points |
| | B. Organization's most recent audit: | | | |
| X |     1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess | | 5 | points |
| X |     2. Identified agency as 'low risk' | | 5 | points |
| X |     3. Indicates no findings | | 5 | points |
| X | C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. | | 5 | points |
| X | D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. | | 20 | points |

## Project Effectiveness

| X | Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries  projected to come from CE referrals | 95 % | 5 | points |

## Other and Local Criteria

| x | Participates in CoC | | 10 | points |

| **Total Maximum Score** | All projects: | 125 | points |