


# FY2026 NY-510 LOCAL FUNDING COMPETITION



## NY-510 CONTINUUM OF CARE FY2026 NEW PROJECT RANKING MATRIX

This matrix will be used by the CoC to score and rank all **new project applications**. All scores are based on submitted application responses and supporting materials.

---

### SECTION 1: THRESHOLD ELIGIBILITY (PASS/FAIL)

Criteria	Requirement	Pass/Fail
CoC Membership (Q10)	Yes required	<input type="checkbox"/> Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Fail
Monitoring/Audit Status (Q11a)	No unresolved findings	<input type="checkbox"/> Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Fail
Coordinated Entry Participation (Q12/Q12a)	Yes or implementation plan required	<input type="checkbox"/> Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Fail
HMIS Participation (Q13/Q13a)	Yes or implementation plan required	<input type="checkbox"/> Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Fail
Project Start Timeline (Q9)	Able to begin within 12 months	<input type="checkbox"/> Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Fail

Projects must pass all criteria to be scored and ranked.

---

## SECTION 2: PROJECT DESIGN & READINESS (20 POINTS)

Measure	Criteria	Points
Project Type, Scale, Units/Beds, Households Served (Q6–8)	Clear, appropriate, aligned with need = 10; Moderate = 5; Unclear = 0	____ /10
Project Start Timeline (Q9)	Feasible = 5; Moderate risk = 3; Not feasible = 0	____ /5
Application Type & Justification (Q4/Q4a)	Strong justification = 5; Partial = 3; Weak = 0	____ /5

Section Total: \_\_\_\_ /20

---

## SECTION 3: AGENCY CAPACITY & EXPERIENCE (15 POINTS)

Measure	Criteria	Points
Agency Experience (Q15)	Extensive = 10; Moderate = 5; Limited = 0	____ /10
Prior Grant Management (Q11b)	Strong = 5; Moderate = 3; Weak = 0	____ /5

Section Total: \_\_\_\_ /15

---

## SECTION 4: SERVICE MODEL & IMPLEMENTATION (25 POINTS)

Measure	Criteria	Points
Service Planning & Participation (Q16)	Detailed & structured = 10; Moderate = 5; Limited = 0	____ /10
Customized Services (Q17)	Strong model = 5; Moderate = 3; Weak = 0	____ /5
On-Site Services (Q18)	Integrated = 5; Limited = 3; None = 0	____ /5
CE & HMIS Implementation (Q12a/Q13a)	Clear plan = 5; Partial = 3; Missing = 0	____ /5
<b>Section Total: ____ /25</b>		

---

## SECTION 5: OUTCOMES & SELF-SUFFICIENCY (20 POINTS)

Measure	Criteria	Points
Increasing Earned Income (Q19)	Strong strategy = 5; Moderate = 3; Weak = 0	____ /5
Self-Sufficiency Approach (Q20)	Comprehensive = 5; General = 3; Minimal = 0	____ /5
Outcome Targets (Q21)	Clear & achievable = 10; Moderate = 5; Weak = 0	____ /10
<b>Section Total: ____ /20</b>		

---

## SECTION 6: TRAUMA-INFORMED APPROACH (5 POINTS)

Measure	Criteria	Points
Minimizing Trauma (Q22)	Strong framework = 5; Basic = 3; Minimal = 0	____ /5

Section Total: \_\_\_\_ /5

---

## SECTION 7: BUDGET & COST EFFECTIVENESS (10 POINTS)

Measure	Criteria	Points
Budget Proposal (Q23)	Clear & cost-effective = 10; Moderate = 5; Weak = 0	____ /10

Section Total: \_\_\_\_ /10

---

## SECTION 8: SYSTEM ALIGNMENT (5 POINTS)

Measure	Criteria	Points
CoC Alignment (Q10–13 Overall)	Strong alignment = 5; Moderate = 3; Weak = 0	____ /5

Section Total: \_\_\_\_ /5

---

## FINAL SCORE SUMMARY

Section	Points
Project Design & Readiness	____ /20
Agency Capacity & Experience	____ /15
Service Model & Implementation	____ /25
Outcomes & Self-Sufficiency	____ /20

Trauma-Informed Approach \_\_\_\_\_ /5

Budget & Cost Effectiveness \_\_\_\_\_ /10

System Alignment \_\_\_\_\_ /5

**FINAL SCORE: \_\_\_\_\_ /100**

---

## **RANKING GUIDANCE**

- Projects will be ranked from highest to lowest score
- Funding decisions will follow HUD guidance and local priorities
- CoC reserves the right to consider system impact, feasibility, and risk in final decisions